

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 . www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/814,425	03/21/2001	Everett X. Wang	42390.P11004 4061		
75	90 05/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Dennis M. de Guzman			MOONEY, MICHAEL P		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF TAYLOR & 2	AFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2883		

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/814,425	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael P. Mooney	2883		

Before the Filling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Michael P. Mooney	2883	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)d 4L					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
(a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	ine issues ior				
appear, and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7,16-19 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of item 3 above: The chemical-mechanical process was considered for method claim 1, but raises new issues requiring further consideration in the amended device claim 16.

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 2800**